Open Access

ISSN: 2663-9491 e-ISSN: 2789-6897

EDITORIAL

The Critical Role of Pretransfusion Procedures in Modern Transfusion Safety — an editorial

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17356397

H N sarker[1]

Blood transfusion, while life-saving and irreplaceable in many clinical settings, carries inherent risks. Advances in transfusion medicine have greatly reduced many of these risks, but pretransfusion processes remain the cornerstone in preventing adverse outcomes. In the modern era—when expectations for safety are high and technology is advanced—pretransfusion procedures are more essential than ever for minimizing both infectious and non-infectious hazards.

What are pretransfusion procedures?

Pretransfusion procedures encompass all steps taken before the actual administration of blood or blood components. Key elements include:

1. **Donor screening and history taking** – evaluating donor risk factors for transmissible infections and ensuring donor health.
2. **Infectious disease testing** – screening donated blood for pathogens (e.g. HIV, HBV, HCV, syphilis, malaria), increasingly using highly sensitive methods including nucleic acid testing (NAT).
3. **Blood grouping & typing (ABO, Rh, etc.)** – defining donor and recipient blood groups so as to avoid ABO or other incompatibility.
4. **Antibody screening and identification** – detecting unexpected ("irregular") antibodies in recipient's plasma that might react with donor red cells.
5. **Cross matching** – actual in-vitro mixing of donor red cells and recipient serum/plasma to look for reactions; confirming compatibility.
6. **Identity verification and labeling** – ensuring that donor units are correctly labeled, samples clearly identified, patient identity correctly matched.
7. **Quality control, standardization, and validation** of laboratory methods and equipment; guidelines, audits, and external quality assurance.

Why these procedures matter more now

Although the risk of transfusion-transmitted infections (TTIs) has dropped dramatically in many countries, several factors make robust pretransfusion procedures more important:

- **Emerging and re-emerging pathogens**: New threats—novel viruses, zoonoses crossing into human populations—mean that donor screening, history, and sensitive testing must continually adapt[1,2].
- **Public expectation of near-zero risk**: Even very rare events breed public concern and legal/regulatory scrutiny. Societies expect transfusion to be extremely safe[1].
- **Non-infectious hazards**: Immunological reactions (e.g. hemolytic transfusion reactions, allergic reactions), clerical errors, and misidentification are now larger relative sources of risk[1,3].
- **Regulatory & guideline frameworks** require rigorous adherence: standards (e.g. BCSH in the UK) define detailed pretransfusion compatibility procedures to reduce error[3].
- **Haemovigilance systems**: The more systematic monitoring of transfusion outcomes reveals that many adverse events are preventable, often due to errors in pretransfusion steps[1].

Key elements of good pretransfusion practice

From modern literature and guidelines, the following stand out as essential elements that if well implemented, significantly improve safety:

- **Strict donor selection & risk assessment**: Robust history taking to detect risk behaviors, travel, symptoms, etc., complemented by proper deferral policies.
- **Sensitive infectious disease screening & testing methods**: Use of NAT where possible, and regular evaluation of residual risk (i.e. what infections might still slip through)[1].
- **Comprehensive antibody screening**: Especially in populations with prior transfusions, pregnancies, etc., to identify irregular or rare antibodies.

(The Insight 2025; 8(2): 240-241)

1. *Professor (Ex), Medicine, Sher-E-Bangla Medical College, Barishal, and Sheikh Sayera Khatun Medical College, Gopalganj, Bangladesh*

Published: 9 October 2025
Published by: Gopalganj Medical Collage, Gopalganj, Bangladesh

ISSN: 2663-9491 e-ISSN: 2789-6897

- **Accurate cross matching and blood group confirmation**: Ensuring that ABO and Rh (and other clinically relevant antigens) are properly typed and matched. Laboratory techniques must be validated.
- **Strong identity procedures**: Two or more identifiers for donor samples, clear labeling, patient verification just before transfusion. This reduces clerical/administrative errors[1].
- **Quality management systems** including standard operating procedures, audits, training, and continual improvement. Unstandardised or non-validated methods are risk factors[3].
- **Effective haemovigilance and reporting**: Tracking adverse events, errors, near misses to allow systemic improvements[1].

Challenges and Considerations

While the pretransfusion procedures are well understood, in practice there are several challenges:

- **Cost and resource constraints**: In low- and middle-income settings, advanced testing (e.g. NAT), full antibody panels, or robust QA systems may be difficult to afford.
- **Turnaround times and urgency**: Emergency transfusions may require blood before full cross matching can be completed, leading to risks. Balancing speed and safety is delicate.
- **Human error**: Clerical mistakes (mislabeling, wrong patient, wrong blood unit) remain a leading cause of adverse events. Even perfect technical procedures can be undermined by process failures.
- **Emerging pathogens** may not yet be recognised or testable; hence donor histories, geographic risk, and possibly pathogen inactivation methods become more important.
- **False sense of security**: As infectious risks decrease, sometimes there is less attention to non-infectious risks; but immune reactions, incompatibilities, and administrative errors cause morbidity and mortality.

The Future: Enhancements and Innovations

To further improve safety, modern transfusion services and healthcare systems are moving toward:

- **Pathogen reduction technologies** for blood components (especially platelets and plasma) to reduce risks from known and unknown agents.
- **Automation and barcoding / RFID systems** for sample tracking, identification, to reduce clerical errors.
- **Electronic cross match ("electronic issue")** in settings where full typing and screening is available historically, under controlled protocols.
- **Risk stratification and precision matching**: matching minor antigens for patients who will receive many transfusions (e.g., sickle cell disease, thalassemia) to reduce alloimmunization.
- **Patient blood management (PBM)** strategies: reducing transfusion need via optimizing anemia, bleeding management, alternatives; thereby reducing exposure risk[4,5]
- **Strengthened haemovigilance and international collaboration**: sharing data about rare adverse events, emerging risks, so that guidelines and practices can be adapted uniformly.

Conclusion

In modern transfusion medicine, pretransfusion procedures are not an optional extra but the foundation of safe transfusion practice. As infectious risks have come down, attention has shifted to non-infectious and procedural risks—many of which are preventable with robust pretransfusion practices.

A safe transfusion system depends not only on technical and laboratory excellence, but also on rigorous procedural discipline, good communication among clinical, laboratory, and administrative staff, continuous quality improvement, and awareness that human error remains an ever-present risk.

In the quest for "zero risk" we must remain realistic: no system can be absolutely risk-free. But through careful design, consistently applied pretransfusion procedures, and embracing both new technologies and strong oversight, we can push the safety envelope further than ever before.

REFERENCES

1. *Aprili G. Safety in transfusion medicine. Blood Transfus 2008;6(3):121-126.*
2. *Pretransfusion Testing: Ensuring Safe Blood Transfusions.*
3. *British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCSH). Guidelines for pre-transfusion compatibility procedures in blood transfusion laboratories.*
4. *https://www-amboss-com.bibliotecavirtual.udla.edu.ec/us/knowledge/transfusion*
5. *Mangwana S. Prevention of adverse reactions: Journey from blood safety to transfusion safety. Int J Blood Transfus Immunohematol 2019;9:100044Z02SM2019.*